



This research project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research (FP7/ 2007-2013) under the grant agreement n°613688 (FoodIntegrity).



GUIDE FOR APPLICANTS

Participating in a competitive call for additional beneficiaries in the FOODINTEGRITY Project

Additional beneficiaries in the Research

Project 613688 FoodIntegrity

http://cordis.europa.eu/project/rcn/110951_en.html

<http://www.foodintegrity.eu>



VERSION Version

- 1) 1st January 2015
- 2) 6th July 2015

Changes from last version

Original

Text page 9 - funding FI01

Text page 13 – table numbering and milestone list. Table numbering and footnotes pages 14-15

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION4

2. HOW TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A PROPOSAL.....6

 2.1 ONE STAGE SUBMISSION.....6

 2.2 PROPOSAL LANGUAGE.....6

 2.3 SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS.....6

 2.4 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT7

3. PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SELECTION.....7

4. INTEGRATING NEW BENEFICIARIES AND ACHIEVING COMPLEMENTARITY8

5. ACCESSION AND PROJECT DURATION8

6. FUNDING ENVELOPE.....9

7. SUPPORT TO PROPOSERS9

 7.1 *CALL HELPDESK*9

 7.2 NATIONAL CONTACT POINTS9

 7.3 THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS HELPDESK9

ANNEX 1 – PROPOSAL FORMAT – RESEARCH PROJECTS (CALL TOPICS 1 TO 4)10

ANNEX 2 – EVALUATION FORM - RESEARCH PROJECTS (CALL TOPICS 1 TO 4)21

ANNEX 3: LIST OF FOODINTEGRITY BENEFICIARIES23

ANNEX 4: SUMMARY OF THE FOODINTEGRITY EXPECTED IMPACT25

ANNEX 5: CALL TOPICS26

GUIDE FOR APPLICANTS
for the selection of additional beneficiaries in the FoodIntegrity project

1. Introduction

FoodIntegrity CP funded by the Seventh Framework programme of the European Community Union for research, technological development and demonstration activities contributing to the creation of the European research area and to innovation reserved a substantial part of the budget for future partners, foreseeing an enlargement of the consortium in an open and flexible way, ensuring dynamic responses to unforeseen challenges.

These later-joining beneficiaries must be selected by means of a competitive call.

This **Guide for applicants** contains the basic information needed to guide you in preparing a proposal to join the FoodIntegrity project which has launched a competitive call. It gives instructions on how to structure your proposal. It also describes how the proposal should be submitted, and the criteria on which it will be evaluated.

Conditions of participation and funding are those of the Seventh Framework programme, as defined principally in Regulation (EC) No 1906/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 laying down the rules for the participation of undertakings, research centres and universities in actions under the Seventh Framework Programme and for the dissemination of research results (2007-2013).

These can be found at

http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/fp7/90749/ecrules_forparticipation_en.pdf under "Rules for Participation" in the document "FP7 Rules for participation".

The proposers which are selected to join the consortium will be required to accede to the existing grant agreement; a model example of which can also be found at the above website ("Standard model grant agreement"). They will also be required to sign the existing consortium agreement, an internal project document concerning the relations between the beneficiaries (can be found on (<http://www.foodintegrity.eu/procurement>)).

This Guide for applicants does not supersede the rules and conditions laid out, in particular, in Council and Parliament Decisions relevant to the Seventh Framework Programme

Funding of participation

Participation as a beneficiary in an FP7 project is on a cost-shared basis, the Commission making only a partial contribution to the total cost of the work.

You may only receive funding via the FoodIntegrity project if you are eligible to receive funding under the rules of the Seventh Framework Programme. The following may receive EU funding in an FP7 project:

- Any legal entity established in a Member State or an FP7 Associated country¹ (including the European Commission's Joint Research Centre), or created under Community law (e.g. a European Economic Interest Grouping),
- Any international European interest organisation
- Any legal entity established in an FP7 International Cooperation Partner Country (ICPC). A complete list of these countries is given in annex 1 of the 2013 Cooperation Workprogramme², but in principle it includes the developing countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America, as well as those European countries which are not already Member states or Associated countries.

Organisations from other countries may also participate in FP7 projects, but normally without funding. There are also a number of other conditions which exclude funding, specified in Articles 93 and 94 of the Commission's Financial Regulation³

In addition to the criteria above, partners from existing FoodIntegrity beneficiaries (identified by their Participant Identification Code – PIC) are not eligible to apply through the open call – see Annex 3 for the list of current beneficiaries.

Proposals can be submitted either by a single organisation responding to the competitive call or by consortia of several eligible organisations.

Fuller details of the Commission's funding arrangements can be found at: http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/funding/reference_docs.html#fp7 under "Guidance Documents for FP7" - "Financial Issues" in the document "Guide to Financial Issues relating to FP7 Indirect Actions".

¹ The FP7 Associated countries are Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Faroe Islands, FYR Macedonia, Iceland, Israel, Liechtenstein, Moldova, Montenegro, Norway, Serbia, Switzerland and Turkey.

² Obtainable at <http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/page/fp7> under "All Work Programmes" – "2013" – "Cooperation" in the latest 'Update to the Annexes 1-5' of the '2013 Cooperation Work Programme'

³ http://ec.europa.eu/budget/library/biblio/documents/regulations/syn_pub_rf_modex_en.pdf

Summary of eligibility criteria

A proposal will only be considered eligible if it meets all of the following conditions:

- it is submitted through the secure data transfer submission system before the deadline, 14th August 2015, 16h00 (British Summer Time);
- it is written in English
- it is submitted by an eligible participant (or by the coordinator of a consortium of eligible participants); eligible participants are listed above
- it is complete i.e. all elements forming the application (on-line and in the template to be used) have been provided
- the content of the proposal relates to the call topic it addresses (see annex 5 and the 'FoodIntegrity Competitive Call for Consortium Extension' call document)
- (if relevant) Consortium composition respects the requirement expressed in the call topics
- Requested EC contribution is within the funding limits specified in the call document

2. How to prepare and submit a proposal

2.1 One stage submission

Proposals for selection as an additional beneficiary in the FoodIntegrity project are submitted in a single stage, by submitting a complete proposal application which is prepared as described in Annex 1 of this document.

2.2 Proposal language

The proposal must be prepared in the working language of the FoodIntegrity project: English. Proposals submitted in any other language will not be evaluated.

2.3 Submission of proposals

Proposals must be submitted electronically through the secure data transfer platform accessible through [Secure Data Transfer](#).

For access please contact Fiprocurement@fera.co.uk stating which Topic that you are interested in applying for and the email addresses of contacts that require accesses for your submission. (This can be updated at a later stage).

Once they have started the application process, proposers will be provided with a personal access to their application enabling them to complete it at their convenience (in several sessions if needed) and submit it before the call deadline. **Submissions are final and cannot be changed. It is the coordinators' responsibility to ensure correctness of information and documents provided before final submission.**

Proposals must be submitted by the closing time and date of the call. Late proposals, or proposals submitted to any other address or by any other means than through the online platform, will not be evaluated.

Once submitted, the coordinator will have the possibility to withdraw a proposal by contacting the call office.

Do not wait until the last minute to submit your proposal. Failure of your proposal to arrive in time for any reason, including communications delays, is not acceptable as an extenuating circumstance. The time of receipt of your proposal as recorded by the secure data transfer system will be definitive

2.4 Acknowledgement of receipt

Once your proposal has been submitted, you (and your team members) will receive an acknowledgement of receipt email that will be sent to the email address you provided in the online platform.

The sending of an Acknowledgement of receipt does not imply that your proposal has been accepted as eligible for evaluation.

3. Proposal evaluation and selection

The FoodIntegrity consortium will organise the evaluation of proposals received in the light of the standard FP7 evaluation criteria for Collaborative Projects, using the form shown in Annex 2 of this document and with the assistance of at least two independent experts. These experts will be individuals from the relevant fields of science, industry and/or with experience in the field of innovation. They will hold the highest level of knowledge, and be internationally recognised authorities in their area.

Each independent expert will record his/her individual opinion of each proposal on the form presented in annex 2. They will then meet together to prepare a “consensus” form for each proposal. Using the results given on the consensus form, the consortium will then select the proposal(s) with the highest overall score.

However, the FoodIntegrity consortium is not obliged to select the highest scoring proposal when:

- A proposal raises objective concerns about commercial competition
- Significant erroneous claims impacting feasibility of the proposed work

Should this happen, approval from the European Commission services in charge of the FoodIntegrity will be required to validate non-selection. In this case the choice may pass to the next-ranked proposal(s). It may happen that no other proposal score passes the minimum threshold in which case no selection will be made.

Also the FoodIntegrity project may conclude that even the highest scoring proposal is failing the minimum thresholds as described in annex 2, in which case it will make no selection.

In the event of no selection being made, the budget foreseen for the call-topic in question may be redistributed to other topics of the competitive call subject to approval from the European Commission services in charge of the FoodIntegrity.

All proposers will receive from the FoodIntegrity project the report of the consensus view of the experts who examined their proposal. The selected proposer(s) will be invited to accede to the project's grant agreement with the Commission.

(For more details about proposals handling and evaluation, see the document *proposals handling and evaluation process* – (<http://www.foodintegrity.eu/documents>)

4. Integrating new beneficiaries and achieving complementarity

Only concerns research proposals submitted under call topics 1 to 4.

The call topics have been carefully selected to ensure the strategically needed new directions and desired new complementarities of the work done by the new beneficiaries. In order to be integrated to the overall FoodIntegrity and to avoid duplication of effort, it is of critical importance that the proposals selected through this call demonstrate complementarities with the research plan of the FoodIntegrity as delineated in the document *Outline work programme of the FoodIntegrity core project* – (<http://www.foodintegrity.eu/procurement>). The demonstrated degree of integration will be an important part of the evaluation criterion Implementation.

To this end, proposers/proposing teams will have to demonstrate that their research plan will complement the overall FoodIntegrity research plan (as presented in the document *Outline Work Programme of the FoodIntegrity core project*) and present how they intend to collaborate with existing FoodIntegrity partners. This will have to be described in part 2.3 of the proposal (see annex 1a).

5. Accession and Project Duration

The actual date of accession of selected partners to the Grant Agreement will depend on the length of the negotiation process with the FoodIntegrity Consortium and the European Commission services; this may vary, in particular for the organisations not already registered to FP7. However, contribution will have to be spent by the end of the FoodIntegrity Ramp up period: 31 Dec 2018.

It can be estimated that selected candidates will access the Grant Agreement around March 2016, therefore an indicative 24 months project duration seems appropriate.

Unless reasonable justifiable reasons are put forward, if access negotiation with the FoodIntegrity Consortium is not finalised before 31 March 2016, new partner(s) and their project(s) will not be funded.

6. Funding envelope

The expected average EC contribution is 500,000 € for each of the topics FI01& FI02 the maximum funding for topic FI03 will be 250,000€ and the maximum funding for FI04 will be 750,000€.

For topics FI01-FI02: The upper funding limit per proposal is 500.000 €. For topic FI01, only one proposal will be funded. For topic FI02, more than one proposal may be funded.

For Topic FI03: The upper funding limit per proposal is 250.000 €. More than one proposal may be funded.

For Topic FI04: The upper funding limit per proposal is 750.000 €. More than one proposal may be funded.

The total EC funding available through this call is 3,000,000 € from the FP7 budget. EC Contribution will have to be spent before the end of the FoodIntegrity' FP7 project, i.e. before Dec 31, 2018.

Budget - Included in the cost statement provisions need to be made for 3 annual project meetings of approx. 4 days (each) in duration, 3 work package leader meetings approx. 2 days (each) and then allow for any adhoc workpackage meetings they may require

No Management costs activities should be included in the budget.

7. Support to proposers

7.1 Call Helpdesk

For further information on the call, contact:

Name: Nick Wray

Email: FIprocurement@fera.co.uk

tel: +44 1904 462128

7.2 National Contact Points

The European Commission supports a network of National Contact Points (NCPs), which can be helpful to organisations from their country both in general advice and particularly on preparing proposals. Organisations should contact the NCP of their own country for further information. http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/support/national_contact_points.html

7.3 The Intellectual Property Rights Helpdesk

The IPR-Helpdesk has as its main objective to assist potential and current beneficiaries taking part in Community funded projects on Intellectual Property Rights issues, and in particular on Community diffusion and protection rules and issues relating to IPR in international projects.

<http://www.ipr-helpdesk.eu/>

Annex 1 – Proposal format – Research projects (call topics 1 to 4)

Proposals must be submitted:

- **via the dedicated secure data transfer platform**
- **in the language stated in the call announcement**
- **before the date and time given as the call deadline in the call announcement**

PART A - TO BE A SINGLE PDF DOCUMENT

- Contact details and PIC (FP7 registration number) of the topic coordinator (topic leader)
 - *In case of consortia:* Contact details and PIC (FP7 registration number) of all co-proposers
 - FoodIntegrity detailed call topic reference
 - Project title
 - Project length (months)
 - Requested EC Contribution (Euros)
 - Project effort (Number of person.months)
 - Project abstract (*maximum 2000 characters summary of your proposed work*)
 - Project key-words
-

PART B - TO BE PROVIDED AS A SINGLE PDF DOCUMENT

A- Front page

Full title of the existing project you wish to join ("Ensuring the Integrity of the European food chain")

Acronym of the existing project ("FOODINTEGRITY")

Grant agreement number of existing project (613688)

Type of instrument (CP)

Topic Code:

Date of preparation of the proposal

Proposal Title

Project Coordinator's legal organisation name

Project Coordinator's organisation address

Name of the Project Coordinator

Project Coordinator's telephone number

Project Coordinator's email

List of Partners (including Pic code, and Countries)

B- Contents page

(Show contents list)

C- Proposal

Section 1: Scientific and/or technical quality, relevant to the topics addressed by the call

Recommended maximum length of section 1: 7 pages (not including table 1.2a, 1.2b and 1.2c)

1.1 Concept and objectives

Describe in detail the S&T objectives of your proposed action. Show how they relate to the topic(s) addressed by the competitive call. These objectives should be those achievable within your proposed action, not through subsequent development. They should be stated in a measurable and verifiable form.

1.2 S/T methodology and associated work plan

A detailed work plan should be presented, broken down into tasks, deliverable and milestones which should follow the logical phases of the implementation of your work, and include management and assessment of progress and results.

Please present your plans as follows:

- i) Describe the overall strategy of the work plan
- ii) Show the timing of the different tasks and their components (Gantt chart or similar)
- iii) Provide a detailed work description broken down in the work package:
 - Task list (please use table 1.2a);
 - Description of each task (please use table 1.2a);
 - Deliverables list (please use table 1.2b);
 - Milestones list (please use table 1.2c)
- iv) Provide a graphical presentation of the components showing their interdependencies (Pert diagram or similar)
- v) Describe any significant risks, and associated contingency plans

Note: The number of milestones used must be appropriate to the complexity of the work, a small action with very specific goals could consist of one work package only. The planning should be sufficiently detailed to justify the proposed effort and allow progress monitoring by the FoodIntegrity project coordinator.

Table 1.2a: *Template - Work package description*

Work package description

Work package number		Start date or starting event:									
Work package title											
Activity type¹											
Participant number											
Participant short name											
Person-months per participant											

Objectives

Description of work (possibly broken down into tasks)

Deliverables (brief description) and month of delivery

Total effort (person months) -

¹ Please indicate one activity per work package:
 RTD = Research and technological development; DEM = Demonstration; MGT = Management of the consortium; OTHER = Other specific activities, if applicable in this call, including any activities to prepare for the dissemination and/or exploitation of project results, and coordination activities.

Table 1.2b: *Template - Deliverables List*

List of Deliverables

Del. no.²	Deliverable name	WP no.	Nature³	Dissemination level⁴	Delivery date⁵ (proj. month)

Table 1.2c: *Template - Milestone List*

List of Milestones

M. no.²	Milestone name	WP no.	Nature³	Dissemination level⁴	Delivery date⁵ (proj. month)

² Deliverable/milestone numbers in order of delivery dates. For deliverables please use the numbering convention <WP number>.<number of deliverable within that WP>. For example, deliverable 4.2 would be the second deliverable from work package 4.

³ Please indicate the nature of the deliverable/milestone using one of the following codes:
R = Report, **P** = Prototype, **D** = Demonstrator, **O** = Other

⁴ Please indicate the dissemination level using one of the following codes:
PU = Public

PP = Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services).

RE = Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services).

CO = Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services).

⁵ Measured in months from your action start date (month 1).

Section 2. Implementation

2.1 Individual participants

For each participant in the proposed project, provide a brief description of the legal entity, the main tasks they have been attributed, and the previous experience relevant to those tasks. Provide also a short profile of the staff members who will be undertaking the work.

2.2 Resources to be committed

Recommended maximum length of part 2.2: 2 pages

Describe how the participants (institutions or individuals from the same organisation depending if the proposals involves several organisations or only one) collectively constitute a consortium/team capable of achieving the project objectives, and how they are suited and are committed to the tasks assigned to them. Show the complementarity between participants/individuals. Explain how the composition of the consortium/team is well-balanced in relation to the objectives of the project. If appropriate describe the industrial/commercial involvement to ensure exploitation of the results, and how the opportunity of involving SMEs has been addressed.

2.3 Feasibility of work plan

Recommended maximum length of part 2.3: 2 pages

Describe and explain how your project will complement the activities already planned in the FoodIntegrity Project and how collaboration with existing FOODINTEGRITY beneficiaries will be real and effective.

Demonstrate that the research plan will complement the overall FoodIntegrity research plan (as presented in the document *Outline Work Programme of the FoodIntegrity core project* – <http://www.foodintegrity.eu> and present how they intend to collaborate with existing FOODINTEGRITY partners.

More information about the current FoodIntegrity Work Programme (list of Work Packages and tasks) is available document *Outline work programme of the FoodIntegrity core project*.

2.4 Resources to be committed

Recommended maximum length of part 2.4: 2 pages without budget tables

Applicants should be aware that FP7 Rules for participation apply, in particular for the Maximum Reimbursement rates of RTD eligible costs, these are:

- *Non profit public bodies; higher education establishments; research organisations - 75%*
- *SME - 75%*
- *Large companies and other organisations - 50%*

*For more details on this, please refer to the guide *Guide to Financial Issues relating to FP7 Indirect**

Action.

http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/ShowDoc/Extensions+Repository/General+Documentation/Guidance+documents+for+FP7/Financial+issues/financialguide_en.pdf

2.4.1 Cost and funding breakdown

Provide one budget table per consortium members (one table in case of a proposal submitted by only one organisation). For more information about financial rules, please refer to the Guide to Financial Issues relating to FP7 Indirect Actions.

Please show your figures in euros (not thousands of euros)

Research projects submitted under call lines 1 to 4 are expected to claim RTD or Demonstration costs (if justified) but no 'Other' costs, except for management costs related to the provision of the Certificate for Financial Statement (CFS)

	RTD	Demonstration	Other	Total
1. Personnel costs				
2. Other direct costs (inc. equipment and subcontracting)				
3. Total direct costs (Sum of row 1 and 2)				
4. Indirect costs				
5. Total costs (Sum of row 3 and 4)				
6. Requested EC contribution				

In row 1, insert your personnel costs for the work involved, differentiating between:

RTD activities: activities directly aimed at creating new knowledge, new technology, and products including scientific coordination.

Demonstration activities: activities designed to prove the viability of new technologies that offer a potential economic advantage, but which cannot be commercialised directly (e.g. testing of product like prototypes).

Other activities: any specific activities not covered by the above mentioned types of activity such as training, coordination, networking and dissemination (including publications). These activities should be specified later in the proposal.

In row 2, insert any other direct costs, for example equipment, subcontracting or travel costs.

In row 3, calculate the sum of your personnel and other direct costs

In row 4, insert your indirect (overhead) costs.

Indirect costs are all those eligible costs which cannot be identified by the participant as being directly attributed to the project but which can be identified and justified by its accounting system as being incurred in direct relationship with the eligible direct costs attributed to the project.

Note that indirect costs should be calculated based on the direct costs but excluding subcontracting and resources made available by third parties, which are not on the premises of the beneficiary.

When you register PIC choose cost model

For more information about Indirect Cost Model:

<http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/help/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=1638473>

In row 5, calculate the sum of your direct and indirect costs.

In row 6, insert your requested EC contribution

RTD activities: you may request up to 50% of the total cost figure. If you are a non-profit public body, a research organisation, a secondary or higher education establishment or a small or medium enterprise, you may request up to 75% funding.

Demonstration: you may request up to 50% funding.

Other, Management (MGT): you may request up to 100% funding. MGT costs are limited to the costs for audit certificates or similar if required.

Note: If you are successful in the evaluation, your final costs and funding estimates agreed with the FoodIntegrity project will also be subject to legal and financial verification by the Commission services.

2.4.2 Budget explanation and use of resource

Describe how the totality of the necessary resources will be mobilised, including any resources that will complement the EC contribution. Show how the resources will be integrated in a coherent way, and show how your overall financial plan for the action is adequate.

Please identify any major non-personnel direct costs and explain why they are necessary for the activity you propose.

Section 3. Impact

Recommended maximum length of part 3: 4 pages

3.1 Expected impact

Describe how your activity will contribute towards the expected impacts listed in the call document and of the FoodIntegrity project. Mention the steps that will be needed to bring about these impacts. Mention any assumptions and external factors that may determine whether the impacts will be achieved.

See Annex 4 for FoodIntegrity summary of expected impact

3.2 Management of intellectual property

If relevant, describe your plans for the management of knowledge (intellectual property) acquired in the course of the action.

Section 4. Ethical Issues

Recommended maximum length of part 4: 2 pages

Please complete table and Describe any ethical issues that may arise in the action (if relevant).

Research on Human Embryo/ Foetus		YES	Page
	Does the proposed research involve human Embryos?		
	Does the proposed research involve human Foetal Tissues/ Cells?		
	Does the proposed research involve human Embryonic Stem Cells (hESCs)?		
	Does the proposed research on human Embryonic Stem Cells involve cells in culture?		
	Does the proposed research on Human Embryonic Stem Cells involve the derivation of cells from Embryos?		
	I CONFIRM THAT NONE OF THE ABOVE ISSUES APPLY TO MY PROPOSAL		

Research on Humans		YES	Page
	Does the proposed research involve children?		
	Does the proposed research involve patients?		
	Does the proposed research involve persons not able to give consent?		
	Does the proposed research involve adult healthy volunteers?		
	Does the proposed research involve Human genetic material?		
	Does the proposed research involve Human biological samples?		
	Does the proposed research involve Human data collection?		
	I CONFIRM THAT NONE OF THE ABOVE ISSUES APPLY TO MY PROPOSAL		

Privacy		YES	Page
	Does the proposed research involve processing of genetic information or personal data (e.g. health, sexual lifestyle, ethnicity, political opinion, religious or philosophical conviction)?		
	Does the proposed research involve tracking the location or observation of people?		
	I CONFIRM THAT NONE OF THE ABOVE ISSUES APPLY TO MY PROPOSAL		

Research on Animals		YES	Page
	Does the proposed research involve research on animals?		
	Are those animals transgenic small laboratory animals?		
	Are those animals transgenic farm animals?		
	Are those animals non-human primates?		
	Are those animals cloned farm animals?		
	I CONFIRM THAT NONE OF THE ABOVE ISSUES APPLY TO MY PROPOSAL		

Guidelines for Applicants - FoodIntegrity Call for Consortium extension

Research Involving non-EU Countries (ICPC Countries¹)		YES	Page
	Is the proposed research (or parts of it) going to take place in one or more of the ICPC Countries?		
	Is any material used in the research (e.g. personal data, animal and/or human tissue samples, genetic material, live animals, etc) :		
	a) Collected and processed in any of the ICPC countries?		
	b) Exported to any other country (including ICPC and EU Member States)?		
	I CONFIRM THAT NONE OF THE ABOVE ISSUES APPLY TO MY PROPOSAL		

Dual Use		YES	Page
	Research having direct military use		
	Research having the potential for terrorist abuse		
	I CONFIRM THAT NONE OF THE ABOVE ISSUES APPLY TO MY PROPOSAL		

Annex 2 – Evaluation form – FoodIntegrity Research call topics

Proposal No. :	Acronym :
-----------------------	------------------

<p>1. Scientific and/or technological excellence (relevant to the topics addressed by the call)</p> <p><i>Note: when a proposal only partially addresses the topics, this condition will be reflected in the scoring of this criterion</i></p> <p>Sub-criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Soundness of concept, and quality of objectives - Progress beyond the state-of-the-art - Quality and effectiveness of the S/T methodology and associated work plan 	<p>Score: <i>(Threshold 3/5; Weight 1)</i></p>
<p>2. Quality and efficiency of the implementation and the management</p> <p>Sub-criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Quality and relevant experience of the individual participants - Quality of the consortium/team as a whole (including complementarity, balance) - Appropriateness of the allocation and justification of the resources to be committed (staff, equipment...) - Feasibility of plans for integration/collaboration with the on-going FoodIntegrity consortium and Work Plan 	<p>Score: <i>(Threshold 3/5; Weight 1)</i></p> <p><i>For the purposes of any subsequent negotiation, an above-threshold score for this criterion is regarded as an indication that the proposer(s) has the operational capacity to carry out the work</i></p>

0 The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information; 1 Poor The criterion is addressed in an inadequate manner, or there are serious inherent weaknesses; 2 Fair While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses; 3 Good The proposal addresses the criterion well, although improvements would be necessary; 4 Very good The proposal addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible; 5 Excellent The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.

<p>3. Potential impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Contribution, at the European and/or international level, to the expected impacts of the FoodIntegrity project - Appropriateness of measures for the management of intellectual property 	<p>Score: <i>(Threshold 3/5; Weight 1)</i></p>
<p>Remarks</p>	<p>Overall score: <i>(Threshold 10/15)</i></p>

Does this proposal contain ethical issues that may need further attention ?

NO

YES

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal

Name	
Signature	
Date	

Name	
Signature	
Date	

0 The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information; 1 Poor The criterion is addressed in an inadequate manner, or there are serious inherent weaknesses; 2 Fair While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses; 3 Good The proposal addresses the criterion well, although improvements would be necessary; 4 Very good The proposal addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible; 5 Excellent The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.

Annex 3: List of FoodIntegrity beneficiaries

Country	Participant Name	EC Participant Identity Code
United Kingdom	THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR ENVIRONMENT, FOOD AND RURAL AFFAIRS	999904713
France	EUROFINS ANALYTICS FRANCE SAS	988959912
Belgium	JRC -JOINT RESEARCH CENTRE- EUROPEAN COMMISSION	999992304
Germany	BUNDESINSTITUT FUER RISIKOBEWERTUNG	998771171
Ireland	UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN	999974359
United Kingdom	THE QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY OF BELFAST	999992013
Italy	UNIVERSITA DEGLI STUDI DI PARMA	999846028
France	ECOCERT SA	997460119
Austria	EUTEMA GMBH	949705079
Belgium	CENTRE WALLON DE RECHERCHES AGRONOMIQUES	999514967
Switzerland	FORSCHUNGSINSTITUT FUR BIOLOGISCHENLANDBAU STIFTUNG	998802114
Denmark	KOBENHAVNS UNIVERSITET	999991043
Netherlands	STICHTING DIENST LANDBOUWKUNDIG ONDERZOEK	999547365
Czech Republic	VYSOKA SKOLA CHEMICKO-TECHNOLOGICKA V PRAZE	999867853
Italy	FONDAZIONE EDMUND MACH	998341461
Portugal	FUNDAÇÃO DA FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA	999580539
Spain	UNIVERSIDAD DE CASTILLA - LA MANCHA	999840208
Spain	MINISTERIO DE MEDIO AMBIENTE Y MEDIO RURAL Y MARINO	999826822
Italy	BARILLA G. E R. FRATELLI SPA	958880406
Iceland	MATIS OHF	999766100
Ireland	TEAGASC - AGRICULTURE AND FOOD DEVELOPMENT	999466952
Norway	NOFIMA AS	963474423
Germany	AGROISOLAB GMBH	990916014
Germany	ISOLAB GMBH LABORATORIUM FUR STABIL-ISOTOPENANALYTIK	952108739
Spain	AGENCIA ESTATAL CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTIFICAS	999991722
Italy	FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS FAO	999608281
Spain	FUNDACION AZTI - AZTI FUNDAZIOA	999514385

Guidelines for Applicants - FoodIntegrity Competitive Call for Consortium extension

Hungary	SOLTUB TRADE AND SERVICE PROVIDING LIMITED LIABILTY	990431984
United Kingdom	FOOD FORENSIC LIMITED	951475135
United Kingdom	UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE	999985417
Poland	PANSTWOWY INSTYTUT WETERYNARYJNY - PANSTWOWY INSTYTUT BADAWCZY	999478301
China	CHINA NATIONAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND FERMENTATION INDUSTRIES SOE	952756214
Netherlands	UNIVERSITAIR MEDISCH CENTRUM UTRECHT	999915189
United Kingdom	THE SCOTCH WISKY RESEARCH INSTITUTE	951094216
United Kingdom	D.D. WILLIAMSON (U.K.) LIMITED	951081412
Netherlands	OCEAN OPTICS BV	951986810
Belgium	SPIRITSEUROPE AISBL	951052021
Italy	UNIVERSITA DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA LA SAPIENZA	999987745

Annex 4: Summary of the FoodIntegrity Expected Impact	
Type of impact	Summary of impact for the FoodIntegrity
“The European added value lies in offering authentic, high-quality food from sustainable production to consumers”	Accurately determining the provenance of food commodities and ingredients is a key aspect in verifying that they are derived from sustainable sources. This project will 1) develop the concept of <i>FOODINTEGRITY</i> i.e. safe, authentic of defined quality; 2) develop methods and systems that will assure food integrity. These systems will be applicable to authenticating sustainable production. Specifically it will develop a working group with industry stakeholders and an expert panel that directly addresses the analytical and traceability challenges of ensuring ingredients and raw materials are obtained from sustainable sources using existing and novel solutions for products such as olive oil and fish.
“The European added value lies in...strengthening the competitiveness of European food producers by enabling them to add value to their products”	Historically there has been little emphasis and resources provided into developing systems to assure food. Resources have usually been spent on the negative aspect, i.e. food fraud. <i>FOODINTEGRITY</i> will work with industry to develop food assurance systems that will more easily be able to demonstrate compliance with legislative/quality standards and thereby more easily identify aberrant products in the market place. This will be achieved in a number of ways that protect and promote European brand authenticity, e.g. markers in white spirits, RFID tags (proof tags) on wine and analyses that form part of PDO descriptions. For example the Fondazione Edmund Mach (partner 15) has conducted research to provide a description of the isotopic composition of “Grana Padano” PDO cheese and this has been lodged with the Consorzio per la Tutela del Formaggio Grana Padano (Syndicate for the Protection of Grana Padano Cheese). This example could be extended to over 1400 European protected foods in the DOOR database.
“The results of the project are expected to help food producers to communicate better the qualities, characteristics and attributes Of the different food commodities	The consumer behaviour workpackage 8 will identify consumer expectations, attitudes and preferences with regard to selected European products in terms of food authenticity, integrity and traceability. WP8 will also identify communication needs for producers and develop a ‘toolbox’ of recommendations to for EU companies exporting specific food and drinks to China about adequate consumer communication to meet their expectations. The assessment of the toolbox needs will act as a template for other European food exports to increasing numbers of middle-class consumers in emerging economies in South East Asia, South America, Africa, India & Russia.

<p>“determining the authenticity of foods can reduce trading blocks and prevent fraud in the form of false descriptions, substitution of cheaper ingredients and adulteration, along with incorrect origin labelling”</p>	<p>The RTD projects initiated at the start of the project within the research and innovation cluster will focus on 3 commodities most heavily exposed to Fraud; Olive oil, alcoholic spirit drinks and Fish. The projects will refine, optimise and harmonise testing procedures and develop novel field based technology to speed up the identification of fraudulent products. Furthermore, WP4 (Olive oil) and WP5 (Spirit drinks) will be involved in the provision of training in appropriate analysis and compliance testing/certification of non-EU laboratories wanting to undertake assessment of these products. WP6 (Seafood) will also include authenticity in relation to properties that cannot be verified analytically, and will produce recommendations for record keeping and paper trail methods that can be used to support claims of this type. This will reduce the likelihood that counterfeit EU products will be allowed to go on sale in foreign markets with the result that EU exports of these commodities will be incorrectly banned from entry.</p>
<p>“This will allow consumers to make informed choices and restore consumer confidence”</p>	<p>The Food integrity Network WP1, consumer behaviour WP7 and the Dissemination and Knowledge Transfer WP11 will be actively involved with consumer groups. Furthermore, the consumer Group “Which?” (the second largest in the world) is a member of the FOODINTEGRITY Advisory Board and they will play an active role in ensuring consumers are aware of the FOODINTEGRITY project and ongoing work in the fight against food fraud.</p>
<p>“The research activities launched within this project should clearly support EU policies on food quality, marketing standards and food information to consumers”</p>	<p>The FOODINTEGRITY Network (WP1) will convene panels of experts on food authenticity and quality from within the highly experienced European consortium and the wider International stakeholder community. This will ensure, as far as is practicably possible, that the gap analysis and prioritisation process will have the highest probability of achieving the expected impact, and that the research activities launched within this project clearly support EU policies on food quality, marketing standards and food information to consumers.</p>

